163

25X1A

23 February 1951

Office of General Counsel

Allowance for Dependents

Joeus.

1. This is in answer to your memorandum of 19 February 1951, regarding the entitlement of an employee to a separation allowance for his child.

2. It is our understanding that the employee is divorced from his wife, although the court did not specifically grant custody to either the mother or the father. A statement from the employee's lawyer states that the employee "is nevertheless under the law of this state as the father of said min rightly, legally responsible for its support and likewise entitled to the custody of said child inasmuch as this right of custody is not a matter determined in the divorce proceedings." The purpose of a separation allowance, as indicated in Section 7.1 of the Standardised Allowance Regulations, is as quoted in partiment part: "to meet the additional expense of maintaining minor children in the country of assignment." In the absence of clear proof that the employee will incur such additional expense in the maintenance of his child, it would not appear that a separation allowance could be properly suthorized in this situation.

25xtach: 25x1A

25xtach: 25x1A

Memo 2-12-51 to

ce: Subject
Chrono
Legal Decisions
Vital Documents.

Andyich copay in Journ file.

SECRET

OGC HAS REVIEWED.